Introduction

Willamette University defines itself by its service to the local and global community. From its inception as an educational institute on the frontier in 1842, to its present role as one of the nation’s top liberal arts colleges, the students, faculty, and staff have “walked the talk” of the school’s motto, “Not unto ourselves alone are we born.” Over the past several years, students, faculty, and staff have had an ongoing and constructive conversation regarding ecological and social sustainability, and significant steps towards sustainability have already occurred. As we move into the future, ecological and social sustainability are a focal point for re-conceiving not only our educational mission but also our service to and responsibility for our city, state, and world. Are we living the values that we espouse? We hope that the following indicators report will provide a starting point to engage the Willamette community in evaluating this important question.

What is an indicators report?

According to the organization Sustainable Measures:

An indicator is something that helps you understand where you are, which way you are going and how far you are from where you want to be.¹

This report presents a collection of discrete pieces of information selected by the Willamette community and calculated by the Sustainability Council that characterize our community and its structure and impact during one year. Our aim in tracking these values over time is to help us discern whether we are moving closer to becoming a model of what it means to live and work sustainably.

How were the indicators selected?

In August 2005, a group of approximately forty Willamette students, staff, faculty and administrators met for the inaugural Sustainability Retreat. The goal of this meeting was to begin constructing a vision of sustainability that meshes with Willamette’s distinctive culture. Participants produced a set of discussion papers that articulated some basic principles of sustainability².

Building on this vision, participants at the second Sustainability Retreat (August 2006) developed an institutional assessment process fitted to Willamette’s unique circumstances. This group identified a set of over 150 potential indicators³, from which the Sustainability Council later selected twenty to be measured and reported on each year:

1. Sustainability policies and procedures
2. Carbon footprint
3. Electricity consumption
4. Heat energy consumption
5. Parking area
6. Water consumption
7. Percent local food
8. Percent sustainably/produced harvested food
9. Printer/copier paper consumption
10. Waste paper consumption
11. Total solid waste
12. Recycling rate
13. Hazardous cleaning chemicals
14. Hazardous cleaning grounds chemicals
15. Workplace injuries

¹ http://www.sustainablemeasures.com/Indicators/Whats.html
³ http://www.willamette.edu/councils/sustainability/archives/retreat2006.htm
16. Hazardous materials spills
17. Employee wage distribution
18. Diversity
19. Course with sustainability theme or component
20. Willamette faculty presentations, papers and research on sustainability

Why did we choose these indicators?
Willamette University’s sustainability initiative as outlined by President Lee Pelton incorporates the “four Es” of sustainability: Equity; Environment; Economics; and Education. Of particular note is the fact that Willamette is not satisfied to conceive of “sustainability” as merely an ecological or economic concept. Without marginalizing the significance of achieving ecological and economic sustainability, the Willamette community is dedicated to advancing a more just society, both now and for future generations.

Of these four areas, the economic health of the university is most carefully and consistently tracked by other instruments. Thus, in this report we have focused on the “three E’s” of equity, environment, and education. The report includes a more detailed justification for each individual indicator.

On the limitations of this report
In order to keep the report to an accessible length, we have consciously chosen to focus on university-wide aggregate indicators. Thus, for example, we do not present information about how and where printer/copier paper is being used, but we do present the total amount used over the course of the year. Secondly, although Willamette has a second, smaller campus for the Atkinson School of Management’s MBA program in Portland, many indicators (all the utilities indicators, for example) focus only on the Salem campus.

No indicators report can be completely comprehensive. It is not possible to fully characterize the structure and impact of our community, regardless of how many indicators we choose. Rather than attempt a more comprehensive characterization, we have carefully and thoughtfully selected those indicators that we believe will be most indicative of our current state and our progress towards sustainability with respect to the “three E’s.”

We recognize that, due to its limitations, this report may raise more questions than it answers. However, that is indeed, part of its aim. Our primary goal with the report is to prompt questions, reflection, and ultimately action, on what it means to be a sustainable community.

Thanks and Acknowledgements
The original report (2007-2008) was written on behalf of the Sustainability Council by Nathan Sivers Boyce (Economics department and Council Chair) and Wendy Petersen-Boring (History department and Council Member). The 2008-2009 was written by Albert Wright, Michael Dougal and Nathan Sivers Boyce.

Completing the report would have been impossible without the active involvement of many people and departments on campus. For their help collecting data, we would particularly like to thank:

- Jim Bauer, Vice President of Auxilliary Services;
- Gary Grimm, Mike Ryan, Dan Craig, and Greg Gonzalez from Facilities;
- Jim Andersen from Grounds;
- Kindra Jordan and Mike Miller from the Travel Center;
- Stacy West from the Office of International Education;
- Keith Grimm and Suzie Torre from Human Resources;
- Ross Stout and Rich Dennis from the office of Safety;
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4 These indicators were not measured for the first report, but will be measured in future reports.
5 Through the budget/expenditure reporting cycle.
• Marc Marelich from Bon Appetit;
• Mike Moon from Institutional Research; and

The production of this report was truly a community project.
Indicator #1: Willamette policies & procedures

Definition
Policies and procedures in place in the following areas:

- Purchasing
- Construction, remodeling, and renovation
- Equipment maintenance
- Indoor air quality
- Water quality
- Workplace injury reporting
- Hazardous materials (spills, storage & disposal)
- OSHA compliance

What is happening at Willamette?

1) Purchasing: Purchasing at Willamette is highly decentralized and is not guided by a general purchasing policy. Individual departments or purchasing officers make their own decisions.

   There a few notable exceptions to this decentralized scheme. Departments are prohibited from buying printer/copier paper other than through the central purchasing office, which purchases paper certified by Forest Stewardship Council and the Rainforest Alliance to be sustainably produced. Other purchases like carpet, computers and furniture must receive specific approval from the central purchasing office, which specifically assesses energy efficiency, durability and life cycle costs.

2) Construction, remodeling, and renovation: In 2003, the Willamette Board of Trustees endorsed green building for all new construction and renovations. We will design and construct to LEED silver standards or better on all future projects, however we may not seek certification.

3) Equipment maintenance: Equipment maintenance occurs on what might be described as a “semi-formal” basis. Maintenance is performed as needed or proactively at the discretion of facilities department. Spreadsheet records of maintenance dates and activities are maintained by supervisors. However, there is currently no formal schedule for preventive equipment maintenance.

4) Indoor air quality: Willamette’s indoor air quality standards are dictated by OSHA\(^6\) and ASHRAE\(^7\) standards as well as building and energy codes. Willamette does not identify or enforce any targets independent of these standards.

   Standards are enforced through the design and commissioning process. Architects and engineers are employed to design systems that will meet these standards. Before a building can be occupied, the systems are audited to assure that they are performing as expected. However, there is currently no system in place to test air quality periodically after a building is commissioned.

   Willamette also has a Smoking Courtesy Policy, which implements the Oregon Smokefree Workplace Law. Under this policy, no smoking is permitted inside or within 25ft of any building and “the right of the non-smoker to enjoy a campus environment free of smoke supersedes the right of the smoker to

---

\(^6\) Occupational Safety and Health Administration <http://www.osha.gov/>  
\(^7\) American Society of Heating, Refrigeration, and Air Conditioning Engineers <http://www.ashrae.org/aboutus/>
smoke on campus.” Nevertheless, Willamette is not a smoke-free campus.

5) Water quality: Willamette obtains water from City of Salem Public Works (CSPW). Water quality standards for drinkable water are set by the federal government via the Clean Water act, and the CSPW is responsible for monitoring water quality and ensuring that it meets federal standards. Willamette does not identify or enforce any targets independent of these standards, nor does it independently monitor the quality of water coming from CSPW.

6) Workplace injury reporting: Workplace injuries are either reported directly the Human Resources office or reported to a supervisor and then passed on to HR. Information about reporting procedures along with the forms needed to file an incident report and/or workers compensation claim can be found in the HR office or online at:
   http://www.willamette.edu/dept/hr/safety.

7) Hazardous materials:
   a. Inventory & Storage: Safe storage of hazardous materials is regulated by the Oregon State Fire Marshal’s office. Willamette University via the Office of Campus Safety is required to report the type and quantity of each product containing hazardous materials to the Marshal’s office. The Marshal’s office uses this information to determine which of the storage arrangements to review, inspects them, and either certifies them as safe or recommends changes to the arrangements.

   b. Disposal: Current policy assumes that it is the responsibility of employees to know the characteristics of the chemicals with which they are working and dispose of them safely and appropriately. In cases where the employee does not know how or is unable to do so, he or she should contact the Office of Campus Safety for assistance. This policy is not expressed in writing, and there is no policy or procedure in place to make a record of these disposals.

   c. Spills: Current policy states that, “it is the responsibility of employees to know the characteristics of the chemicals with which they are working and take the proper precautions to protect themselves and the community by containing a spill.” It also states that any chemical spill should be reported to the Office Campus Safety. This policy is made available in Campus Directory and Emergency Reference Guide. A paper copy of this guide is distributed to all students, faculty and employees each year. The Emergency Reference Guide is separately available for download from the Office of Campus Safety website:
   http://www.willamette.edu/dept/safety.

8) OSHA compliance: Determination of Willamette’s compliance with OSHA health and safety regulations is handled by the Office Campus Safety (OCS). Safety officers check buildings
and public areas for obvious safety hazards and can arrange to have an OSHA consultant examine a particular area and make recommendations (free of charge). Inspections of this kind occur irregularly upon request. University Center, Gatke Hall, the Bush Park Stadium have all been recently reviewed and the Bistro soon will be. Currently there is no policy or practice of undertaking routine, campus-wide compliance audits.
Indicator #2: Willamette’s carbon footprint

**Definition:**
The total quantity of greenhouse gases emitted as a direct or indirect result of operating Willamette University.

**Why this indicator?**
Greenhouse gas emissions are one of the primary sources of impact that Willamette University has on the environment. Once emitted these gases concentrate in the earth’s atmosphere creating a gas blanket that threatens to disrupt climates on a global scale. The more gases emitted, the thicker the blanket, the greater the risk of disruption. The consequences of this disruption are likely to be experienced most intensely by future generations in some of the poorest parts of the world, so the size of our carbon footprint has both environmental and equity implications.

Willamette’s (or any other institution’s) carbon footprint in a given year is determined by a variety of factors, including the number of people (faculty, staff, and students) associated with University operations and activities—especially the number of students we are serving, the number and size of buildings to which we are providing heating, cooling and electricity, and the way in which we choose to spend money. To emphasize these connections and provide a useful means of comparing between schools, we present emissions per person (faculty, staff, and students), emissions per student, emissions per 10,000 square feet of building space, and emissions per $10,000 of operating expenditure in addition to the total emissions.

**How was it measured?**
Data were collected on:
- electricity and natural gas consumption
- gasoline and diesel consumption by campus fleet and rental vans
- solid waste sent to landfills
- refrigerant releases
- fertilizer use
- university related air travel including travel to and from off campus study locations
- local commuting by faculty, staff and students

These data were entered into the Clean Air-Cool Planet Carbon Calculator, which translated the activities into emissions of the six internationally-recognized greenhouse gases.

In order to add-up different gases, each gas was translated into its “carbon equivalent,” the amount of carbon dioxide emissions required to produce the same climate disruption risk. Results are expressed in metric tons (1000 KG) of carbon equivalent or MT eCO2.

**What does it tell us?**
- Willamette’s footprint has decreased 3% from the 07-08 fiscal year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>17506 MT e C02 total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>→ 5.41 MT eCO2 per person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>→ 6.91 MT eCO2 per student</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>→ 12.0 MT eCO2 per 1000 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>→ 1.74 MT eCO2 per $10,000 spent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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• The vast majority of emissions continue to come from three sources: electricity consumption (30%); heating (26%); and transportation (40%).

• Local commuting a significant source of emission (13%) has decreased and now generates less than half the emissions of long-distance air travel (28%).

• The largest source of air travel emissions was travel by faculty and staff (18% of total) followed by student travel to and from off-campus study locations (10% of total).

• The only emission that increased from last year is travel for off-campus study and electricity.

What is happening at Willamette?
• In 2003, the board of trustees endorsed green building guidelines to be used for all new construction and renovations. All new building will be built to LEED silver standards at a minimum.

• Photovoltaic panels on were installed on Kaneko Hall, and a 40-kilowatt photovoltaic system will be installed on the new Ford Academic Building. Small-scale hydroelectric generation on the campus stream is also being explored, as is wind power. We are beginning retro-commissioning process for HVAC and lighting control systems that will restore or raise levels of efficiency.

• A wind turbine has been constructed on top of Collins Hall for a six month demonstration, after which the turbine will be moved to Zena Forest to run a pumping system

• Gas-powered work vehicles are being replaced with electric vehicles and hybrids are used for campus security vehicles.

• A campus bike shop provides free services to the community including free long and short term bike “rentals,” rider safety training and equipment, maintenance and repairs. All university employees and students can ride on the city transit system for a 50% discount, and the university also provides an “emergency ride home” service for all mass transit and non-auto commuters. A rideshare webpage connects carpoolers, and the university provides a “qualified mass transit subsidy” for those car-pooling or using inter-city mass transit.

• In April of 2007, President Lee Pelton signed the American College and University Presidents Climate Commitment, committing the University to become climate neutral.

• Ford Hall and the new Legal Center have been constructed to LEED Gold and Silver standards respectively.

• 300 acres of Zena Forest have been purchased as a carbon offset and a place for hands on scientific study: a sustainable agriculture program will be started for the summer 2010.
Indicator #3: Electricity consumption

**Definition and measurement:**
Total electricity use, measured in kilowatt hours per square foot of building space.

**Why this indicator?**
30% of Willamette’s carbon emissions come from electricity consumption. Reducing electricity use is a key step towards becoming carbon neutral in compliance with Willamette’s commitment to the American College and University Presidents Climate Commitment (ACUPCC). Per ft² indicates efficiency changes as well as total use changes. In addition, per ft² data is what planning and construction companies use and is therefore a more practical measurement.

**What does this tell us?**
The efficiency of Willamette’s buildings has stayed relatively stable over the two years that this report has been published. Change in electricity consumption can be attributed to normal fluctuations in the weather of a given year. As Willamette continues to track this indicator, what is important is a general downward trend in electricity usage per square feet, indicating increased efficiency.

**What are other colleges doing?**
Eighteen U.S. colleges and universities have offset 100 percent of their greenhouse-gas emissions from electricity through renewable energy certificates. Western Washington University, the University of Central Oklahoma, Evergreen State College, Concordia University Texas, and Unity College use 100 percent renewable energy to power their operations. In 2007, Warren Wilson College in North Carolina purchased renewable energy credits that offset 100 percent of campus electricity use.9

The University of Washington’s overall energy use has decreased (by 10 percent between 2000 and 2005) despite campus growth. All the university's energy comes from renewable sources (including hydropower), and 14 buildings are slated for construction or renovation in compliance with LEED standards.10

St. Olaf College is constructing a 1.6 MW wind turbine to power its campus. The turbine’s total cost will be $1.9 million, but $1.5 million will be funded through grant money from Xcel Energy. Generating 6 million kilowatt hours annually, the turbine will supply one third of St. Olaf’s energy requirements. The associated

---

9 Sierra Club, Sept/Oct 2008, “Cool Schools.”
http://www.sierraclub.org/sierra/200809/coolschools/cool-ideas-list.asp

http://www.sierraclub.org/sierra/200809/coolschools/cool-ideas-list.asp
emission offsets are expected to bring the college into compliance with the Kyoto Protocol.\textsuperscript{11}

The University of Vermont meets 60 percent of energy needs with renewable energy.\textsuperscript{12}

Despite a 27\% increase in students since 1988-1999, the University of British Columbia has reduced energy in core and ancillary buildings by 14\%, representing a financial savings of $3.5 million, and CO2 emissions from buildings by 13\%. Since 1990, they have reduced CO2 emissions per square meter of building area by 30\%. The energy reduction was achieved through ELECTrek lighting upgrade, ECOTrek infrastructure upgrade, green buildings, the Sustainability Coordinator program, and student and staff efforts.\textsuperscript{13}

As an educational component of its comprehensive energy plan, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver maintains a webpage calculator on its Sustainability Office webpage that displays running totals indicating real time use for kWh of electricity used and saved, sheets of copy paper used and saved, litres of water used and saved, tones of greenhouse gas emissions reduced, and dollars saved.\textsuperscript{14}

The Oregon Institute of Technology has been tapping geothermal energy since 1964. OIT’s direct use system uses three geothermal wells between 1,300 feet and 1,800 feet deep. These wells supply all heating needs for the 11 building, 600,000 square feet campus. Additionally, the wells meet some of the campus cooling requirements. OIT’s geothermal system costs $35,000 to operate each year. This is considerably better than the operational costs of a natural gas fed broiler at $250,000 to $300,000 per year.\textsuperscript{15}

Students at Tulane University have equipped a model dorm room with energy star appliances in order to demonstrate to other students the potential energy savings (as much as 50\%) of more efficient appliances. The program targets incoming first year students in order to develop a culture of sustainability before students purchase appliances.\textsuperscript{16}

The footprint of higher education in the U.S., a $317 billion industry, is growing: enrollment between 2000 and 2013 is expected to increase by 23\%. If every one of the 4000 campuses in the U.S. used 100\% clean energy, it would nearly quadruple the current renewable electricity demand in the U.S.\textsuperscript{17}

The University of British Columbia purchases enough Green Power Certificates to power two major campus buildings. For each Green Power Certificate the University purchases, BC Hydro ensures that an equal amount of new “green electricity” enters the grid. The green electricity is produced in BC at facilities such as small hydro projects and landfill-gas recovery projects that meet social and environmental impact criteria.\textsuperscript{18}

**What is Willamette doing?**

- Throughout the campus, energy reduction and management technologies have reduced total energy costs per square foot.

\textsuperscript{11}The Apollo Alliance, *New Energy for Campuses: Energy-Saving Policies for Colleges and Universities*  
\textsuperscript{12}Sierra Club, *10 That Get It*, 2008  
\textsuperscript{13}University of British Columbia, *Annual Report*, 2006  
\textsuperscript{14}University of British Columbia, *Sustainability Office*, 2009  
\textsuperscript{15}The Apollo Alliance, *New Energy for Campuses: Energy-Saving Policies for Colleges and Universities*  
\textsuperscript{17}The Apollo Alliance, *New Energy for Campuses: Energy-Saving Policies for Colleges and Universities*  
\textsuperscript{18}University of British Columbia, *Annual Report*, 2006
from $1.98 in 2001, to $1.82 in 2008-2009, despite significant increases in per unit energy costs.

- Willamette’s average energy consumption for buildings over 5000 square feet is less than 11.8kwh/sqft/year, which is below the national “Energystar” efficiency standard of 12Kwh/sqft/year. In contrast, the national average is 19.6Kwh/sqft./year.\(^\text{19}\)

- Replacement of gas-powered work vehicles with electric vehicles.
- Adding additional insulation when a roof is replaced.
- High efficiency boilers and chillers are installed in new construction and retrofits.
- DDC energy management system that is installed throughout campus includes capabilities of night setbacks, building night flushes, free cooling, occupancy scheduling, and early problem detection.
- Capacitor banks installed on motor control centers to improve power factor.
- Exit lights have been retrofitted to high efficiency LED’s.
- Lighting controls have been installed in all new construction including lighting sweeps, occupancy sensors, ambient light sensors and dimming, photo controls, and motion sensors.
- Lighting upgrades to electronic ballasts and T-8 tubes in campus buildings.
- Replacement of old single glazed windows with high efficiency, low-E, argon filled double glazed windows.

\(^{19}\) Willamette University, How We Choose To Live
Indicator #4: Heat energy consumption

Definition
Total natural gas consumption measured therms per square foot of building space.

Why this indicator? [done]
Natural gas used for heating accounts for 26% of Willamette’s carbon footprint. If Willamette is to become climate neutral, this is a primary area in which consumption must be reduced or the impact from consumption must be offset. Per ft\(^2\) indicates efficiency changes as well as total use changes. In addition per ft\(^2\) data is what planning and construction companies use and is therefore a more practical measurement.

What does it tell us? [added this year]
In one year, Willamette has reduced its consumption of natural gas per square foot of building space by about 12%. As with electricity consumption, this could be do to normal weather fluctuations. Several years of data are needed to establish a trend line. Willamette did, however, replace some older boilers with newer, more efficient ones this past year.

What are other universities doing?
The University of British Columbia, Vancouver Okanagan campus has begun construction of a geo-exchange heating and cooling system that will replace the existing natural gas plant. Using groundwater as an energy source, the geo-exchange system will be used to heat and cool $400 million worth of new buildings planned, preventing the emission of more than 38,000 tonnes of CO2 into the atmosphere over two decades, equivalent to the electricity consumption of 4,850 homes.\(^20\)

Almost one quarter of colleges and universities meet some of their energy needs form renewable sources.\(^21\) However, only about 80 campuses in America purchase clean energy.\(^22\)

At Berea College, the SENS House in the Ecovillage uses a photovoltaic panel to produce as much electricity as it uses. Through net-metering, the SENS House draws on the grid at night.

---


and exports electricity during the day. The SENS House also uses a solar water heating system.\textsuperscript{23}

Tufts publishes an eco-map of places where students can find such greenery as free compact fluorescent lightbulbs, recycling bins, and Zipcars. Oberlin College students put on a Ecolympics each spring to compete to reduce.\textsuperscript{24}

**What is Willamette doing?**

- In 2003, the board of trustees endorsed green building guidelines to be used for all new construction and renovations. A residential building achieved LEED Gold certification and a new academic building is in construction toward LEED Gold certifications. All future buildings will be built to LEED Silver standards or better. Double-glazed windows are being used in a pilot project in two buildings.

- The university has signed a green power purchasing commitment and installed photovoltaic panels on Kaneko Hall.

- A 40-kilowatt photovoltaic system will be installed on the new Ford Academic Building.

- Small-scale hydroelectric generation on the campus stream is also being explored, as is wind power

\textsuperscript{23} Berea College: Ecological Indicators of Sustainability, Fall, 2004

\textsuperscript{24} Sierra Club, Sept/Oct 2008, “Cool Schools.”

http://www.sierraclub.org/sierra/200809/coolschools/cool-ideas-list.asp
Indicator #5: Parking area

**Definition:**
Amount of campus surface area devoted to parking, measured in percentage terms.

**Why this indicator?**
While convenient parking is viewed as an important benefit for many faculty, staff and students, emissions from local commuting generate about 2,343 MT eCO2, 13% of Willamette’s total GHG emissions. Moreover, area devoted to parking cannot be used in other ways at the same time. So, parking area is an indication of Willamette’s land use priorities and vehicle dependence.

**How was it measured?**
GIS technology was used to analyze a satellite photograph of the Willamette campus. Designated parking areas were identified and their areas measured. These measurements were compared to the total campus area.

**What does it tell us?**
Willamette devotes 17.6% of its surface or approximately 12.5 acres to parking. This much land could also be used to:
- house 10 football or soccer fields;
- feed 50-90 people;
- support approximately 20 new academic buildings the size of the new Ford Academic Building; or
- support approximately 10 new residence halls the size of Kaneko Commons.

**What is happening at Willamette?**
As noted above (see Indicator #2), Willamette has vigorously promoted alternative modes of transportation:
- A campus bike shop provides free services to the community including free long and short term bike “rentals,” rider safety training and equipment, and maintenance and repairs.
- The university also provides a “emergency ride home” service for all mass transit and non-auto commuters.
- A car-sharing program is available to all students, faculty, and staff through Zip-Cars.
- A rideshare webpage connects carpoolers, and the university provides a “qualified mass transit subsidy” for those carpooling or using inter-city mass transit.
- City bus passes are available at Campus Safety for 50% of the normal cost. Passes previously were free but due to higher costs the School could not afford the deal with Cherriots, Salem’s bus system.
• Finally, we include materials promoting alternative transportation resources in the orientation materials for new students. In 2008-2009, a total of 1,780 were issued. This is a 21% reduction in parking permits sold.
Indicator #6: Water use

**Definition and measurement:**
Total annual water consumption measured in:
- kgals (1000 gallons),
- kgals per squarefoot of building space, and
- kgals per person, including faculty staff and students.

**Why this indicator?**
Consumption of water, though a renewable resource, should be sustainable for ecological and economic reasons. Tracking water use raises awareness of Willamette’s participation in and responsibility towards the wider watershed.

**What does it tell us?**
Willamette’s water consumption is similar to that of other schools.
- Berea College reports water consumption ranging between 23 and 37 kgals per person between 2000 and 2004,\(^\text{25}\)
- At the University of Oregon, the average person consumed 22.6 kgals.\(^\text{26}\)

Yet, Willamette’s per person water consumption has increased by 950 gallons in the last year. This is roughly the equivalent of a six hour shower. At the same time that overall and per person usage went up, per square feet usage went slightly down, suggesting that increased water usage was due to greater land area.

\(^{25}\) Berea College, *Ecological Indicators of Sustainability*, 2004
\(^{26}\) University of Oregon, *Campus Sustainability Assessment*, 2007.

---

**What are other colleges doing?**
Despite a 27% increase in students since 1998-99, University of British Columbia’s ECOTrek project has reduced water use by 40%, enough water to supply 11,800 Vancouver residents for one year. A few highlights from their efforts include: a closed-loop drain system designed to use well water for drought-tolerant gardening; mitigation; a “Sustainability Street” that is designed to be the world’s first closed-loop system integrating storm water management, wastewater treatment, and ground source heat pumps.
that illustrate how water can be slowed and treated in small spaces.\textsuperscript{27}

The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill is working with its local water utility to develop a program that will reclaim and treat farm water that could replace up to 180 million gallons of potable water usage on campus every year.\textsuperscript{28}

Each year, Indiana University dorm halls compete to conserve water as part of a month long Energy Challenge. This year students saved nearly 1,100,000 gallons of water.\textsuperscript{29}

At Berea College, a rainwater collection system was installed to provide water for all toilets, urinals, and landscaping. Water collected from the roof is stored in a 12,000-gallon underground cistern. The Sustainability and Environmental Studies House uses all of its bathroom grey water to grow plants in an attached greenhouse. The house is designed to meet its water needs with rainwater. The Berea College Ecovillage is designed for a 75\% reduction in water use with low flow showers, low flush toilets, high efficiency washing machines, and waste-water recycling.\textsuperscript{30}

UC San Diego has committed to cutting water use by 4\% each year.\textsuperscript{31} 25\% of campus irrigation is done with reclaimed water.\textsuperscript{32} They have also started an “AQUAholics Anonymous” 12 step program to encourage students to cut back on person water usage.

\textbf{What is Willamette doing?}

- Water efficiency technologies are employed throughout campus, including drip irrigation and low flow showerheads, toilets, and faucets. Waterless urinals are being explored.

Sensor operated lavatory faucets are used.
Indicator #7: Percent local food

**Definition:**
Percentage of food budget spent on products that travel less than 500 miles on their way to Willamette.

**Why this indicator?**
Locally produced food reduces the energy used for transportation and helps to sustain the local economy. Emissions from the transportation of food (or any other purchased product) are not figured into Willamette’s carbon footprint.

**What does it tell us?**
Local food accounts for 70% or $1,260,000 of Willamette’s total $1.8 million food budget. By comparison to other institutions, this still is a very high percentage.

- Middlebury College has relationships with 30 local vendors and 10% of its food is grown or processed in Vermont.  
- 30-40% of the food purchased Bates College is local and organic.  
- 11% of the food purchased by Berea College was produced in-state in 2004.  
- Wheaton College buys at least 20 percent of its produce from vendors within a 150-mile radius and promotes an online calculator

35 Berea College. 2004. Ecological Indicators of Sustainability

This past year the Association for the Advancement of Sustainability in Higher Education’s digest highlighted.

- George Mason University (VA) has opened a new 35,000-square-foot Southside Dining Facility. The vendors for the new facility will be local suppliers and farmers who will be providing fresh produce. Other green features of the dining hall will include tray-free dining, biodegradable products, bulk napkin and condiment dispensers, and a dishwasher that will save 100,000 gallons of water per year.  
- Wesleyan College recently signed an agreement to receive southeast regional produce whenever possible. This could result to as much as 85% locally grown fruits and vegetables offered during the summer months.

**What is Willamette doing?**

33 AASHE Digest 2008.  
34 AASHE Digest 2008.
Partnering with Bon Appetite Management Company, Willamette has made great strides in purchasing food from local sources.

- Food items such as meat and vegetables are purchased from local, organic farms using socially just labor practices whenever possible.
  - All dairy is from farms within 70 miles of campus.
  - All pork is from farms within 150 miles of campus
  - All beef is from Oregon, Idaho, or Washington
  - All chicken is raised in Oregon and processed in California
  - 50-75% of produce is local
  - All seafood is from west coast.

- Bon Appetite visits the farms to check on sustainable practices, such as composting, stewardship of land, recycling, and use of chemicals.

- Food miles figure prominently in purchasing decisions.

- Due to food purchased within 150 mile radius of campus decreasing 10% from the 07-08 fiscal year, we changed the indicator to reflect food purchased within a 500 mile radius because it better shows that the campus is purchasing over half of its food from local dealers within a days drive from campus.

- A 500 mile radius shows that Willamette is avoiding the global network of food transport that brings items not in season locally or processed that have huge carbon footprints due to travel.
Indicator #8: Percent sustainably produced or harvested food

**Definition:**
Percent of budget spent on food that is sustainably produced or harvested.

**Why this indicator?**
Sustainably grown or harvested food reduces adverse effects to human health and the environment while supporting farmers using production methods that protect environmental integrity. Sustainable techniques improve soil health, prevent topsoil loss, preserve biodiversity, conserve water, and protect water quality.

**What does it tell us?**
Willamette spends about 65% or $1,170,000 on sustainably produced or harvested food, a 35% increase from the 07-08 fiscal year. By comparison to many of the schools reporting on sustainably produced food this is large in both percentage and absolute expenditure terms.

- The University of Oregon spent $16,606 or less than 1% of its food budget on sustainable foods.
- At the University of Minnesota, Morris, spending on sustainably produced food went from zero in 2000 to $20,000 in 2006. In just the first five months of the 2007-2008 school year, spending was up to $48,000.
- 42% of food at the Evergreen State College comes from local and organic sources.

AASHE.org highlighted these institutions commitment to sustainable food.

- Maharishi University of Management's (IA) new student center dining hall is serving 100 percent vegetarian and 90 – 95 percent organic food. Campus farms provide the school with fruits and vegetables during the summer, and campus greenhouses offer tomatoes and greens during the winter. The school has spent the past eight or nine years replacing foods grown with herbicides and pesticides with organic food. Most of the remaining food comes from local producers. This is the first institution with this high of percentages.

- Emory University's (GA) community gardens, farmers' markets, and goal to have 75 percent of the 25,000 meals served each day feature regional or sustainable sources of food.

---

36 University of Oregon. 2007. Campus Sustainability Assessment.
37 http://www.startribune.com/lifestyle/26423049.html?page=1&c=y
38 http://www.evergreen.edu/sustainability/sustainablefood.htm
39 AASHE Digest 2008.
food by 2015.  

There are many innovative programs in place at other institutions.

- The Green Mountain College (VT) Course, "Food, Agriculture, and Community Development in the Northeast," has received the Vermont Governor's Award for Environmental Excellence and Pollution Prevention. The course explored how food choices affect the community. The class visited roughly one dozen area farms and hosted several national food and agricultural experts as guest speakers. As a final project, the class created sustainable purchasing guidelines for an on-campus dining hall.

- Utah State University recently donated a 5-acre plot of its research land to be used for an on-campus organic farm. Students will help to prepare the field, and will also help make decisions on rotation rates and plots. Faculty coordinators of the project hope that the produce will be sold in an on-campus student farmers market. The coordinators plan for the farm to become a self-sustaining project that will eventually make enough money to allow for a full-credit intern, research projects, and thesis projects.

What is Willamette doing?
Partnering with Bon Appetite Management Company, Willamette has made great strides in making food ecologically and socially sustainable. Highlights include:

- All dairy is rBST free
- Willamette complies with the Monterrey Bay Aquarium’s conservation guidelines for seafood and the Seafood WATCH sustainable guidelines.
- Bon Appetite buys Cage Free shell eggs, and offers fair trade coffee.
- A vegan station is available in the main cafe every evening. Vegan options are present at breakfast and lunch.
- To go containers in the cafeterias are all biodegradable and derived from renewable resources such corn, sugarcane, and potato starch.
- Bon Appetite actively partners with campus events highlighting world poverty and starvation (e.g., OxFam America), consumption and food waste on campus, and the working conditions of farmworkers.
- Bon Appetite’s “Circle of Responsibility” provides an educational tool regarding the carbon footprint of food, www.circleofresponsibility.com

---

37 AASHE Digest 2008.
38 AASHE Digest 2008.
39 AASHE digest 2008.
Indicator #9: Printer/Copier paper consumption

Definition:
The amount of printer/copier paper consumed per person (including faculty, staff, and students) over the course of the year, measured in pounds.

Why this indicator?
As an academic institution, printer/copier paper is necessarily a significant portion of our consumption, both literally and symbolically. Thus, our use of paper is an important determinant of our impact on the environment. Polluted water generated by pulping and bleaching processes has been linked to human health concerns. Conventional forestry methods that support paper production put survival many species of plants, fish and animals at risk through habitat destruction, fragmentation and rising water temperatures. And the disposal of paper products accounts for approximately 40% of waste going to landfills in the United States.\(^{40}\)

How was it measured?
Data on paper delivery orders from central stores were collected and tabulated to determine the total number of boxes consumed. A package of 500 sheets was determined to weigh 5 lbs, and a box of 5000 sheets to weigh 50 lbs.

What does this tell us?
Willamette’s printer/copier paper consumption per person:

\begin{itemize}
  \item is equal to approximately 1,816 sheets of paper per person over the year\(^{41}\)
  \item is approximately 2.75% of the annual per person consumption of all paper products in the US\(^{42}\)
  \item exceeds the per person annual consumption of all paper products in 87 countries, including Pakistan, Libya, and Kenya\(^{43}\)
\end{itemize}

Producing printer/copier paper to supply WU’s annual total consumption:

\begin{itemize}
  \item consumes about 763 trees\(^{44}\);
\end{itemize}

---

\(^{40}\) Sierra Club
<http://www.sierraclub.org/sustainable%5Fconsumption/factsheets/forestproducts_factsheet.asp>

\(^{41}\) While this figure is significantly lower than the 2,491 sheet per person estimated for ’07-‘08, methodological differences in the calculations make this comparison misleading.

\(^{42}\) World Resources Institute <http://earthtrends.wri.org/searchable_db/index.php?theme=6&variable_ID=573&action=select_countries>

\(^{43}\) Ibid. WRI

• generates over 650,000 gallons of waste;\textsuperscript{42} \\
• generates 78.4 metric tons of CO\textsubscript{2}; and \\
• generates more than 28 tons of solid waste.\textsuperscript{42}

Willamette would have to set aside about 14\% of total campus area (10.25 acres) just to supply itself with the raw materials and process the waste stream of this consumption\textsuperscript{45}.

**What is happening at Willamette?**

Currently printing is free and un-rationed. Students are assigned a nominal limit and pages printed in computer laboratories are deducted from this allocation. In addition, printers are installed so that double-sided printing is the default in most printing locations. Thus, total consumption is made visible and efficient printing is encouraged. However, students are neither prevented from exceeding the limit nor charged if they do so. Faculty and staff are not assigned a limit.

By contrast, there is a per page charge for photocopying that applies to students, faculty, and staff. The rate of charge varies by location.

In order to limit the impact of paper consumption, all paper ordered through central purchasing is Domtar Earthchoice paper, which carries both Forest Stewardship Council and Rain Forest Alliance certification.

Indicator #10: Waste paper consumption

Definition:
The amount of waste paper (toilet tissue, paper towels) consumed per person (including faculty, staff, and students) over the course of the year, measured in pounds.

Why this indicator?
As a provider of residential services, Willamette generates a significant amount of waste paper. As with printer/copier paper, the consumption of these products is an important determinant of our impact on the environment. Polluted water generated by pulping and bleaching processes has been linked to human health concerns. Conventional forestry methods that support paper production put survival of many species of plants, fish and animals at risk through habitat destruction, fragmentation, and rising water temperatures. And the disposal of paper products accounts for approximately 40% of waste going to landfills in the United States.

How was it measured?
Consumption (in rolls of toilet paper and rolls of paper towels) was determined from purchase via purchase reports from vendors. Rolls were then converted to pounds and summed.

What does it tell us?
Willamette’s waste paper consumption per person:
- is equal to slightly more than 9 rolls of toilet paper and slightly less than 3.5 rolls of paper towels over the year

- exceeds the per person annual consumption of all paper products in over 100 countries, including Vietnam, Ivory Coast, Sri Lanka, and Senegal; and

Producing waste paper to supply Willamette’s annual total consumption:
- consumes about 242 trees;
- generates over 380,000 gallons over waste;
- generates 59 metric tons of CO2; and
- generates more than 17 tons of solid waste.

WU would have to set aside about 10.5% of total campus area (7.5 acres) just to supply itself with the raw materials and process the waste stream of this consumption.

---

46 Sierra Club
<http://www.sierraclub.org/sustainable%5Fconsumption/factsheets/forestproducts_factsheet.asp>

47 World Resources Institute <http://earthtrends.wri.org/searchable_db/index.php?theme=6&variable_ID=573&action=select_countries>

What is happening at Willamette?

- In academic buildings Willamette currently uses Georgia Pacific toilet paper and paper towels:
  - We use two different GP paper towel products.
    - GP bleached enMotion accounts for about 37% of consumption in academic buildings. This product is not EPA compliant, meaning that it is comprised of less than 40% post consumer waste.
    - GP unbleached Towlmastr Series 2000 towels account for the other 63% of consumption in academic buildings. These towels are comprised of at least 95% recycled materials and at least 85% post consumer waste.
  - We use GP Rollmastr in toilet paper in all academic buildings. This product is not EPA compliant, meaning that it contains less than 20% post consumer waste.

- In residential buildings Willamette currently uses Bay West toilet paper and paper towels:
  - All the paper towels (roll and tri-fold) are Ecosoft Greenseal certified products made up of a minimum of 73% or 80% post consumer waste.
  - The toilet paper is Ecosoft (12300), which 100% recycled material with a minimum 20% post consumer waste.

---

Indicator #11: Compacted waste per person

Definition:
The portion of waste generated by normal daily operations over the course of the year that is compacted on campus before being sent to the landfill. Measured in pounds per person (including faculty, staff, and students).

Why this indicator?
Tracking solid waste captures Willamette’s environmental impact in two important ways. It quantifies our draw on the raw materials needed to produce the waste as well as our demands on natural systems to absorb our waste stream.

Why report only compacted waste rather than total municipal solid waste? Willamette’s garbage is either emptied into the campus compactor or collected loose from the dumpsters at regular intervals. While Allied Waste charges by the pound for compacted waste, it charges by the volume of the container to empty the dumpsters. So, a significant part of our waste stream (perhaps as much as 60%) is never weighed. Compacted waste is the largest portion of the total stream for which it is possible to obtain accurate measurements. While this won’t allow us to precisely measure the total amount of garbage we dispose of each year, it should allow us to track changes from year to year.

How was it measured?
Data on the price per pound of waste and total charges for compacted waste were used to determine the weight of compacted waste.

What does it tell us?
- Generation of compacted waste is down 12% from a year ago.
- In US, median adult male weighs less than 200lbs.
- Compacted waste per person equivalent to 12.5% of US average total MSW per person per year.
- Total compacted waste equivalent to total MSW of 156 average families in the US.

What is happening at Willamette?
- Student and staff led initiatives seek improvements in campus waste reduction. For example, in the spring of 2007, the ASWU committee on sustainability sponsored a Waste Reduction Competition amongst residence halls on campus.

---

50 This estimate is based on volume to weight conversion estimates from the College and University Recycling Council and the assumption that dumpsters are 80% on average when they are emptied.

51 Estimate for ‘07-’08 has been revised to reflect better data since release of 2007-2008 Indicators Report.


53 Ibid.
Bon Appetite has provided important ongoing leadership in reducing or diverting food wastes from the waste stream:

- About 35% of food waste is composted or converted to feed and used at local farms through the “Farm to Fork” program.
- Post-consumer food waste was reduced by 35% in 2007-2008 using a variety of measures such as one-component meal stations that encourage guests to create one plate with exactly what they want and can eat.
- Cooking oil is recycled to be converted into biodiesel.
- Further, Bon Appetite actively partners with campus events highlighting world poverty and starvation (e.g., OxFam America), consumption and food waste on campus, and the working conditions of farmworkers.
Indicator #12: Estimated recycling rate

Definition:
Total recycled waste as a percentage of the total waste generated by normal daily operations over the course of the year.

Why this indicator?
There is no "away" in which to throw things. All material waste returns to the natural environment sooner or later, often in forms or concentrations that are unnatural and thus present a significant challenge to the absorptive capacity of the natural world. Thus, we believe that significant material recycling must be part of any attempt to work and live sustainably. Recycling reduces demand for virgin raw materials and decreases the environmental impact of waste disposal.

How was it measured?
To precisely measure the recycling rate, the weight of all waste and recycled materials must be determined. Unfortunately this was not possible. Although compacted waste was weighed by the Allied Waste disposal company, a significant portion of waste sent to landfills and all waste sent to recycling facilities was never weighed. The weight of these components had to be estimated based on assumptions about: i) how full the dumpsters were on average before being emptied; and ii) the conversion of volume to weight for different materials.

Because the final calculation is sensitive to these assumptions made, three estimates were constructed. In all three, dumpsters were assumed to be 80% full on average, but the volume to weight conversions differed:

- The high estimate was constructed using conversions obtained from the College and University Recycling Council (CURC)\(^{54}\);
- The medium estimate was constructed using conversions that were 46%\(^{55}\) as large as those recommended by the CURC; and
- The low estimate was constructed using CURC conversions for waste and using the reduced conversion (46% of CURC) for recycled materials.

What does it tell us?
- The low estimate (36%) is slightly higher than the 2006 US national recycling rate of 32.5\(^{56}\).
- The medium and high estimates compare favorably to what is reported at many other schools. For example:
  - The University of Oregon reports recycling rate of 45\(^{57}\);
  - The University of British Columbia reports 46%; and
  - Kalamazoo college won the Recyclemaniacs competition in Spring of 2008 by averaging a recycling rate of approximately 60% over a 10 week period\(^{58}\).

\(^{54}\) http://www.nrc-recycle.org/curc.aspx
\(^{55}\) Estimate derived from single load weight taken on 9/8/08
\(^{56}\) http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/non-hw/muncpl/facts.htm
\(^{57}\) http://sustainability.oregon.edu/indicators/
\(^{58}\) http://www.recyclemaniacs.org/university_detail08.asp?ID=1601
What is happening at Willamette?

- A newly redesigned recycling web page\(^{59}\) provides information and guidelines for the campus recycling program.
- The recycling program at Willamette accepts paper, cardboard, mixed containers, printer cartridges, and books.
- New rules allowing the co-mingling of different material types make recycling easier and may help increase recycling rates.
- The program also coordinates donation of unwanted furniture to local nonprofits.
- E-wastes are also responsibly recycled.
- In the fall, leaves are gathered and composted for use on community gardens.
- In cooperation with the county public works officials, the Center for Sustainable Communities sponsors ongoing, quarterly, community-wide styrofoam recycling events.
- A campus “Sharecat” website allows community members to sell, trade, recycle and reuse unwanted items, similar to Craig’s List.
- Finally, a plan to collect athletic shoe for recycling is under development.

---

\(^{59}\) http://www.willamette.edu/dept/facilities/support/recycling/index.html
Indicator #13: Volume of hazardous cleaning chemicals

**Definition:**
The volume of cleaning chemicals with ingredients which fall into each of four relative risk categories for human and environmental health.

The four relative risk categories are:
- **low** - less hazardous than 75% of other chemicals;
- **medL** - less hazardous than 50% of other chemicals, more hazardous than 25% of other chemicals;
- **medH** – less hazardous than 25% of other chemicals, more hazardous than 50% of other chemicals;
- **high** – more hazardous than 75% of other chemical.

**Why this indicator?**
The use of toxic cleaning products exposes workers to the risk of acute and/or long-term health problems and may also create environmental hazards if those products are accidentally released or improperly disposed of. The health impact of toxins varies significantly. While some cause moderate inconvenience, like mild skin irritation, others have been linked to severe problems such as cancer (carcinogens), disruption of the human hormone functions (endocrine disruptors), and birth defects (reproductive toxins). Environmental consequences are similarly varied.

**How was it measured?**
Products used and the amount of each product consumed, were determined from the records of Custodial Facilities Services. The chemical components of each product were determined from the product’s materials safety data sheet (MSDS). The relative risk level for each chemical component was determined with the aid of ranking systems made available by the Environmental Defense Fund on the website [http://www.scorecard.org](http://www.scorecard.org). The human health hazard of each component was determined using the IRCH worker exposure hazard score, which considers both toxicity of the component and characteristics that determine the exposure potential. The environmental health hazard of each component was determined by IRCH environmental hazard score, which considers its toxicity and persistence. Finally, a product was assigned the hazard level of its riskiest component.

**What does it tell us?** [done]
The majority of products used at Willamette create very little risk to human health or the environment. Over 60% (by volume) of the products used in ’08-’09 contain no known or suspected
Toxins. This is comparable to Santa Clara University, where over half of all cleaning products meet Green Seal standards.\(^{60}\)

However, Willamette did employ products that could lead to potentially serious health problems:

- 5 products\(^{61}\) totaling 45.3 gallons contain chemicals suspected to be endocrine disruptors, including 20 gallons that contain 2-butoxyethanal\(^{62}\) a compound that the LEAS\(^{63}\) organization includes in their “Do Not Use” category.
- 9 products\(^{64}\) totaling 101.7 gallons contain suspected reproductive toxins, including 37.5 gallons that contain compounds on the LEAS organization’s “Do Not Use” or “Try to Get Substitutes for These Substances” lists.
- 1 product\(^{65}\) totaling 14.3 gallons contain ethyl alcohol, a suspected carcinogen.

Likewise, although the vast majority (85\%) of cleaning products posed little environmental risk, some did. The most significant of these were four products totaling 63 gallons, which contained hydrogen peroxide. However, all of these products meet Green Seal standards. [needs citation for Green Seal, discuss other products]

---

**What’s happening at Willamette?**

The custodial staff has greatly reduced their use of toxic chemicals over time, identifying toxic ingredients and substituting nontoxic. The general purpose cleaner that accounts for almost half of product use by volume has no known or suspected toxic ingredients at all. **As of January 2010, the decision has been undertaken to order and use only cleaning chemicals that are certified sustainable.**\(^{66}\) The only exception to this policy will be for the purposes of a general restorative cleaning undertaken once each year.

---

\(^{60}\) http://www.scu.edu/sustainability/newsandevents/upload/SCUSustainabilityAssessment2007-2.pdf \(^{p.17}\)

\(^{61}\) NonAcid disinfectant, Oven Cleaner, Powerhouse, Quest 256 #34 Outpost, Riptide

\(^{62}\) NonAcid disinfectant, Ridtide


\(^{64}\) Hard Rock Sealer, Mr. Muscle Oven Cleaner, NonAcid disinfectant, Oven Cleaner, Powerhouse, Quest 256 #34 Outpost, Reflection, Riptide, Stone Coat

\(^{65}\) Quest 256 #34 Outpost

\(^{66}\) Or manufactured to the same standards.
Indicator #14: Volume of hazardous grounds chemicals

Definition:
The volume of grounds chemicals with ingredients that fall into each of four relative risk categories for human and environmental health.

The four relative risk categories are:

- **low** - less hazardous than 75% of other chemicals;
- **medL** - less hazardous than 50% of other chemicals, more hazardous than 25% of other chemicals;
- **medH** – less hazardous than 25% of other chemicals, more hazardous than 50% of other chemicals;
- **high** – more hazardous than 75% of other chemical.

Why this indicator?
The use of toxic herbicides and insecticides exposes grounds workers and other campus inhabitants to the risk of acute and/or long-term health problems and may also create environmental hazards. The health impact of toxins varies significantly. While some cause moderate inconvenience, like mild skin irritation, others have been linked to severe problems such as cancer (carcinogens), disruption of the human hormone functions (endocrine disruptors), and birth defects (reproductive toxins).

How was it measured?
The products and amounts used were determined from the records of the Grounds Department. Chemical components of each product were determined from its materials safety data sheet (MSDS). The relative risk level for each chemical component was determined with the aid of ranking systems made available by the Environmental Defense Fund on the website [http://www.scorecard.org](http://www.scorecard.org). The human health hazard of each component was determined using the IRCH worker exposure hazard score, which considers both toxicity of the component and characteristics that determine the exposure potential. The environmental health hazard of each component was determined by IRCH environmental hazard score, which considers its toxicity and persistence. Finally, a product was assigned the hazard level of its riskiest component.

What does it tell us?
The total use of chemicals by the grounds department was very low, only 3 gallons over the year, which represents a significant reduction relative to even last year when 26.5 gallons were used. Although data was not recorded prior to the 07-08 year, Willamette has drastically cut back on grounds chemical use. Perhaps by as much as 97%. 

---

67 Perhaps by as much as 97%.
All grounds chemicals used are rated as posing only low level hazard to humans and the environment. However, one product (2.5 gallons total) did contain suspected reproductive toxins.

This is comparable to other universities of comparable acreage. For example, Santa Clara University used about the same amount of roundup (2.4 gallons total) as Willamette (2.5 gallons total). Like Willamette, roundup accounts for almost all of Santa Clara’s grounds chemical usage.

**What is happening at Willamette?**

As noted above, Willamette’s use of hazardous chemicals is low because of a systematic shift over time in management strategy employed by the grounds crew. Indeed, the grounds crew is working with a local company, Willamette Organics, to eliminate the use of synthetic chemicals and synthetic fertilizers.

- Organic fertilizer is used on all Willamette grounds, except two athletic fields.
- Most weeding is done by hand.
- Weeds are controlled by layering cardboard and using beds of wood chips to limit weed growth.
- Vinegar is used as a natural herbicide.
- Lawn areas are mowed frequently and at a higher level to reduce the visibility of invasive weeds.
- Heat (deployed using gas powered torches) has been used as substitute for herbicide over small areas.
- Chemical herbicides are used sparingly and in a carefully targeted ways such as painting roundup directly onto the leaves of invasive weeds.

Similarly, the use of chemical pesticides has been intentionally and strategically limited:

- Willamette has gone from using 58 different pesticides, to a very small volume of a single pesticide.
- Predators of insects are intentionally cultivated

---

68 Roundup
Indicator #15: Workplace injuries

Definition and measurement:
Number of workplace of injury reports measured in total reports and reports per 100 employees.

Why this indicator?
Willamette’s vision for sustainability encompasses not simply the natural environment, but also extends to the social environment. A sustainable campus is one that ensures the health, safety and well-being of its employees. It is in the community’s best interest to prevent staff, student, and faculty injuries, particularly those incurred while using dangerous equipment. Tracking workplace injuries will ensure appropriate reporting, care, and treatment for injury.

What does it tell us?
In general, Willamette is a safe place to work that has comparable injury rates to other universities. The number of injures this year (16 total) at Willamette is roughly the same as the previous year (14 total).

What is Willamette doing?
- In conjunction with its worker’s comp insurance provider, Willamette offers monthly safety trainings free of charge to workers.
- Willamette invited Oregon OSHA to campus several times in the last three years to conduct free consultations to point out any safety concerns that may cause injuries or are in violation to OSHA regulations. This program helps to reduce the chance of injuries to employees and visitors and to show OSHA that we are proactive in reducing on the job injuries. When our worker's comp carrier sees a reduction in injuries, our rates may be reduced saving the University money.
- Willamette is considering a formal post-injury investigation process, with the aim of mitigating future injuries. Currently, the direct supervisor of the injured employee typically looks to see how similar injuries can be avoided in the future.
- Currently Willamette is considering an awards program for employees who practice safety and go a certain length of time without a workplace injury.

What are other universities doing?
Most other universities do not include workplace injuries in their sustainability report, and instead track data and implement changes via their Human Resources department. However, there are an increasing number of institutions that do report workplace injuries in their sustainability report.

The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, which had .85 injuries per 100 people that resulted in time-loss in 2006. From 2005-2006, the frequency of time-loss accidents decreased 15%, contributing to an overall decrease of 44% since 2002. UBC is launching “Focus on People: Workplace Practices at UBC” in 2007, and one of its core goals is developing a sustainable and safe workplace.70

Indicator #16: Hazardous material spills

Definition:
The total number of hazardous material spills, defined as any chemical spill, which were reported to the Office of Campus Safety during the year.

Why this indicator?
Hazardous material spills may potentially create human health problems and environmental damage. The number of spills in a year will depend (among other things) on the volume of hazardous materials being used and on the precautions taken in handling these materials. Thus, tracking the number of spills should allow us to measure part of our environmental impact and help characterize the environment in which our employees work and our students live.

What does it tell us?
Because there have been no reported spills in the two years that this indicator has been tracked, the results are difficult to interpret. Zero spills may indicate that hazardous materials are infrequently used and are handled carefully when they are employed at all. Thus, there really were no spills.

Indeed, Willamette has dramatically cut back on its use of housekeeping and grounds chemicals. However, it may also indicate that hazardous spills are not being reported to the Office of Campus Safety. This might arise, for example, from a lack of awareness about the reporting policy or out of ignorance of the characteristics of the chemicals being used.

What is happening at Willamette?
Willamette has four hazardous waste collection stations on campus. In 2009, hazardous waste spill kits were added to each of these locations along with directions for how to use the kit. It is campus safety policy to respond to and investigate any reported incident.
**Indicator #17: Willamette’s employee wage distribution**

**Definition:**
The number of full-time classified and administrative employees whose total compensation averages out to an hourly wage that is:

i) less than the living wage for 1 adult;

ii) between the living wages for 1 adult and 2 adults;

iii) between the living wages for 2 adults and 1 adult, 1 child;

iv) between the living wages for 1 adult, 1 child and 2 adults, 1 child;

v) between the living wages for 2 adults, 1 child and 1 adult, 2 children; and

vi) more than the living wage for 1 adult, 2 children.

**Why this indicator?**
The distribution of wages is intended to provide information about how equitable our operations are: *Is Willamette University fairly compensating its employees?* To evaluate this question we start by asking: How many of our employees are paid more than a living wage? A living wage provides for sufficient food, child care, education, healthcare, housing, transportation, taxes, and other basic necessities. In other words, it is the wage rate required to sustainably support Willamette’s employees.

Why do we report an entire distribution of wages rather than simply reporting the percentage of employees who make at least a living wage? The expenditures needed to provide a minimum standard of living vary for different family structures. It costs more (in total) to provide for a family with two adults and one child, than for a family with one adult and one child. So, it is argued that the value of a living wage depends on the family structure of a particular employee. For example, the Living Wage Calculator estimates that in order to provide minimal food, clothing, medical care, child care, transportation and incidental expenses in the Salem Keiser area, a single full-time worker would need to earn:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Living wage (per hour)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1 adult</th>
<th>2 adults</th>
<th>1 adult, 1 child</th>
<th>2 adults, 1 child</th>
<th>2 adults, 2 children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08-09</td>
<td>$7.92</td>
<td>$12.43</td>
<td>$15.73</td>
<td>$20.20</td>
<td>$26.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07-08</td>
<td>$6.60</td>
<td>$10.08</td>
<td>$13.62</td>
<td>$15.66</td>
<td>$19.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since Willamette University does not collect information about the family structure of each employee, it is not possible to
determine whether a particular employee is making a living wage or not. Instead, we track the number of employees whose wages fall in the ranges between these values.

**What does it tell us?**
- Generally speaking, there are fewer employees in the higher living wage categories and more in the lower.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Living Wage Ranges</th>
<th>Percentage Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1Ad 2Ad</td>
<td>-20.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Ad, 1C</td>
<td>-15.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Ad, 1C</td>
<td>-10.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>-5.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-20.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is **not due to decreased wages, but instead an increase in the cost of living**. These increases have increased the living wage dramatically between this year and last (eg, the living wage for a single adult went up 20%). Although wages paid by Willamette have not decreased and in some important cases have increased, they have not kept pace with cost of living increases, causing a general “leftward” shift in the wage distribution of Willamette employees.

- It remains true that all full-time employees make at least the living wage value for a single adult ($7.92/hour).
- Almost 40% of all employees make enough to support a family of 2 adults and 2 children.
- Over two thirds of classified employees (140 of 202) do not make enough to support themselves and one child.
- No classified employees (0 of 202) make enough to support a family of 2 adults and 2 children.

**What is happening at Willamette?**
The lowest wage for full-time employees was raised from $9.45/hour to $10.50/hour.

- 92% of administrative staff (199 of 216) make enough to support themselves and one child ($15.73).
Indicator #18: Student diversity

Definition:
Racial/ethnic diversity of the student body (university-wide) as measured by the percentage of known students who fit different racial/ethnic categories.

Geographic diversity as measured by the percentage of known students from different points of origin.

Economic diversity as measured by the % of all students receiving some financial aid, % of undergraduates who are Pell Grant eligible and the median (of all students) family contribution as a percentage of total package price.

Why this indicator?
Willamette’s definition of sustainability includes equity, and its vision is for a diverse and healthy social environment. Just as healthy eco-systems are marked by diversity, so a healthy campus community is diverse in its members. Diversity is central to Willamette University’s mission statement. The University’s Long Range Plan, adopted by the Board of Trustees in 2001, states, “Commitment to diversity is needed as a visible expression of our collective worldview and the world in which we live. It is essential to quality education and supports our sense of moral duty to overcome racism, bigotry and discrimination in all forms. Thus, we must invest in people, programs and activities to strengthen our appreciation of and commitment to diversity.” Diversity matters for the core purpose of this community: education. The different points of view that emerge from diverse cultural heritages and ethnic backgrounds enlarge aesthetic horizons, enrich intellectual discourse, sharpen historical perspective and give increased focus to who we are and what we stand for. These important ends are best met within a context of learning that is multi-dimensional.
What does this indicator tell us?

- The ethnicity of the campus has remained neutral with an increase in the percentage of white students on campus.
- Although most students continue to arrive from Oregon or one of the other western states (AK, HI, WA, ID, CA) (85.3%+), in all 43 states and 25 countries were represented in the student body. The percentage of western students has decreased, while 10 more countries were represented in the student body from the 07-08 academic year.
- Finally, although some Willamette students come from families who are able to pay the full package price. Most (60%) do not. In fact, for half of all Willamette students, the family contribution will cover 20% of the total package price or less.

What is happening at Willamette?

- In response to the Concerned Students for Social Justice students, in November 2006 President Pelton established a Council on Diversity and Social Justice (CDSJ), whose membership broadly represents the faculty, students and staff of the University. The purpose of the CDSJ is to deepen the University's commitment to diversity through a four-point framework suggested in a joint diversity project of the Association of American Colleges and Universities (AACU) and the James Irvine Foundation.
- There has been an almost two-fold increase in the number of students at Willamette from ethnic minority groups.
- Multiple groups on campus actively engage in creating a campus culture that values diversity including: the Office of Multicultural Affairs, Willamette Academy, Tokyo International University of America, Office of International Education, Willamette Study Abroad Blogs, OHANA Jump Start Program, Language Learning Center, ANGLES Queer-Straight Alliance, SHE, Office of the Chaplain, the Center for Excellence in Asian Studies, and the programs in American Ethnic Studies, Latin American Studies, and Women and Gender Studies.